



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,994	10/15/2001	Augustin J. Farrugia	032326-098	9558
21839	7590	12/30/2004	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			MEKY, MOUSTAFA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/975,994	FARRUGIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Moustafa M Meky	2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 December 2002.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 6-15 and 25-33 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 16-24 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/4/2002</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. Claims 1-33 are presenting for examination.
2. Claims 6-15 & 25-33 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 2.1. None of the prior art of record taken singularly or in combination teaches or suggest a establishing and/or associating a first connection (session) with a server by the Internet to provide a user application with access to information stored in a first smart card, and establishing and/or associating a second connection (session) with the server to provide the user application with access to a device on telecommunications network that contains a second smart card (claims 6 & 25).
3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5 & 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sahihara (US Pat. No. 6,434,405).
5. As to claim 1, Sahihara shows in Figs 7-8, a smart card (4) for providing a user access to a smart card based Internet application 61 (Fig 8) in the absence of a conventional reader for a smart card (the card 4 is directly connected to the portable phone device 3 without the use of a conventional reader for the card 4), the smart card 4 having a memory 45 (Fig 7) with information stored therein that comprises:

- information that is dedicated to the functionality of a device (portable phone 3) with which the smart card 4 is adapted to be used (the card 4 is attached to the portable phone device 3 for obtaining a web document from the HTTT server 6), see col 2, lines 29-32, lines 37-40, col 7, lines 58-62;
 - additional information that enables the portable phone device 3 to establish an Internet session (through the dial up server 2) with the Internet application 61 and that functions as an interface to the application 61, see col 8, lines 7-15 (notice the setting information stored in the memory 45 that includes the URL designated by the user for the web document resides on the server 6, see col 2, lines 29-32, col 7, lines 58-62, col 8, lines 3-5).
6. As to claim 2, the additional information includes an applet (represented by the URL of the web document) that is a client component of the application 61 (a request includes the URL asking for service to obtain the web document having the URL), see col 8, lines 9-11.
7. As to claim 3, the device 3 is a portable phone, see Fig 8.
8. As to claim 4, the smart card is a SIM (the smart card 4 is used with the portable phone 3), see col 2, lines 25-26, lines 50-54, col 7, lines 58-62.
9. As to claim 5, the additional information includes application fro transmitting information to the application 61 through the dial up server 2 for use in establishing the session, see col 8, lines 7-15.
10. As to claims 20-24, the claims are similar in scope to claims 1-5, and they are rejected under the same rationale.

11. As to claims 16-19, the claims are similar in scope to claims 1-5. In addition, the gateway is represented by the Dial-UP server 2 (see Fig 8) and it would establish a virtual link between the device 3 and the application 61 and translates the messages exchanged between the device 3 and the application 61, see col 8, lines 7-15.

Therefore, it can be seen from above that Sahihara anticipates claims 1-5 & 16-24.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Moustafa M Meky whose telephone number is 571-272-4005. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MMM
12-23-2004


MOUSTAFA M. MEKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER